

Approximate Return of Traffic for week ended 3rd March 1883 on 86 miles open.

	Coaching Traffic.		Merchandise and Mineral Traffic.		Other Earnings (estimated.)	Total Earnings.		Traffic Train—miles run.		
	No. of Passengers.	Coaching Receipts.	Weight carried.	Receipts.				Coaching.	Merchandise.	Total.
		Rs. A. P.	Mds. S.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.			
Total Traffic for the week ...	5,586	3,120 0 2	8,973 0	1,167 4 0	85 5 6	4,382 9 8	689	570	1,500	
Or per mile of Railway ...	65	36 6 4	104 13	13 9 2	0 15 11	50 15 5				
For previous 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ weeks of half-year ...	45,839	25,795 3 8	90,185 30	13,245 5 0	507 13 2	40,148 5 10	6,859	6,141	12,980	
Total for 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ weeks ...	51,235	28,925 3 10	99,168 30	15,012 9 0	593 2 8	44,530 15 6	7,778	6,711	14,489	
Comparison.		On 58 miles open.								
Total for corresponding week of previous year ...	6,511	4,523 13 7	2,973 20	565 5 0	8 3 3	5,907 5 10	1,529	1,293	2,822	
Per mile of Railway, corresponding week of previous year ...	76	52 9 8	34 23	6 9 2	0 1 6	59 4 4				
Total to corresponding date of previous year ...	30,348	18,695 6 10	28,150 29	3,896 14 7	144 3 9	22,736 9 2	8,670	6,349	15,019	

9th March 1883.

ST. J. BUCHAN,
Auditor of Accounts, M. S. R.

BANGALORE DISTRICT.

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 354 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

It is hereby declared that the undermentioned persons are insolvents, and, as such, entitled to the benefit of the provisions of Chapter XX of the Code of Civil Procedure, and it is ordered that the said insolvents be and are hereby discharged from custody and from all liability to be arrested or imprisoned in respect of any of the debts mentioned in the schedule filed with records of the cases in question:—

K. Lakshmaia	Insolvent Case No. 231	of	1882.
Rangaiya	Do	342	of do
Thimamamma	Do	387	of do
Muttamma	Do	388	of do
Raja Boyi	Do	458	of do
Viraraghava Shastri	Do	26	of 1883.

C. CRESS.
Sub-Judge.

MYSORE DISTRICT.

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE.

Insolvency Case No. 400 of 1882.

In the matter of Shanbhog Rangappa of Gangavadi, an Insolvent Judgment-debtor.

ORDER.—The application of the above named Shanbhog Rangappa to be discharged from custody coming on to be heard before this Court in the presence of Mr. U. Govinda Rao, Advocate on the part of the applicant S. Rangappa, and in the presence of Mr. M. D. Naranaingar, Advocate on the part of 1 Arakotaram Virabhadra Shetti, 2 Balle Putta Shetti, 3 Channavira Devaru, 4 Lakshman Shetti, 5 Putrangi, guardian of Chavuda Shetti, minor, son of the deceased Sivananjashetti; and 11 Puttanna, being creditors of the said applicant Shanbhog Rangappa, and the following creditors although duly served with notice of the hearing having failed to appear either in person or by Pleader, viz., 5 Imam Sahib, 6 Guru Basava Shetti, 7 Putmada, grandson of deceased Siddaranga, 8 Pandit Danappa, 9 Nan-

jundaiya, son of deceased Sitaramaiya, 12 Patel Mallaiya and 13 Adam Sahib ; it is hereby declared that the said Shaubhog Rangappa is an insolvent, and, as such, entitled to the benefit of the provisions of Chapter XX of the Code of Civil Procedure ; and it is ordered that Mr. Krishnaiengar, Advocate, on his giving such security as is hereinafter mentioned, be appointed a Receiver of the property of the said Shaubhog Rangappa, insolvent ; and that the said Mr. Krishnaiengar, Advocate, do forthwith and before he shall enter upon his duties as such Receiver give good and sufficient security for Rs. 2 000 by bond with two or more able sureties to be approved by the Court duly to account for and administer the estate of the said insolvent, and that the said Mr. Krishnaiengar, Advocate, do retain as a remuneration for the performance of his duties as such Receiver, a commission at the rate of Rs. 5 per cent upon the amount of the balance distributed by him among the scheduled-creditors of the said insolvent.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this 19th day of January 1883.

T. R. A. THUMBBOO CHETTIAR,
District Judge.

NAGAR DIVISION.

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE.

Original Suit No. 6 of 1882.

Execution Case No. 12 and 13 of 1882.

Plaintiffs.

1. Batni Nagesha Rao
2. Do Krishna Rao
3. Do Venkat Rao

vs.

Defendant.

Mr. F. D. Meppen, resident of Harobiddala,
Bindag Magani, Chikmagalur Taluk.

In execution of a decree of the District Judge's Court, Nagar Division, in the above case, dated the 23rd day of October 1882, in virtue whereof a sum of Rs. 40,912—5—8, with interest thereon at 12 per cent per annum from date of plaint, viz., 1st July 1882, up to the date of decree, and thereafter at 6 per cent per annum up to date of payment, and costs Rs. 1,635—9—11, was adjudged to be payable by the said Mr. F. D. Meppen to the said plaintiffs and that the hypothecated property described in Nos. 1, 2, 3 in the schedule hereunder attached, be also made liable to the decreed amount, and of a warrant dated the 28th day of February 1883, for giving effect to the said decree by sale of property attached thereunder, notice is hereby given that on the 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th days of April 1883, at 12 o'clock, the Nazir of this Court will, at Chikmagalur, in front of the Sub-Judge's Court, sell by auction to the highest bidder, and without reserve, the right, title and interest of the said Mr. F. D. Meppen, in the immovable property hereunder specified, and every power of disposing of the same or any of them or of the profits arising therefrom which the said Mr. F. D. Meppen may now consistently with the law exercise for his own benefit.

This the 28th day of February 1883.

By the Court,

B. VENKATACHARI,
Sheristadar and Nazir.

SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

NOTIFICATIONS.

The 1st March 1883.

The right to collect tolls at the toll-gates at Haidurghar and Agumbe ghats in the Nagar and Tirthahalli Taluks of the Shimoga District from 1st April 1883 to March 1884, will be sold by public auction separately at Nagar by the Amildar of Nagar on the 20th March 1883, and at Tirthahalli by the Amildar of Tirthahalli on the 26th idem.

2. The contractor who purchases the right can collect toll at the rates mentioned in the accompanying schedule and no higher rates are leviable by him. He should conform himself to the rates published with the late Chief Commissioner's Notification No. 274, dated 4th November 1876.